

# PARAMOUNT ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES

A Publication of PER *Type of the Paper: Review*  https://paramountecologicalresources.com

# Biomass and Carbon Storage in Forest Management Types: A Review of Forest Reserves, Sacred Groves, and Community Forest Management for Climate Change Mitigation

Japheth D.H.

Department of Forestry and Wildlife Technology, The Federal University of Technology, Owerri-Nigeria.

\* Corresponding: <u>daujaph@gmail.com</u>; +234 8068347777; Orcid I.D. : <u>0000-0001-5788-7157</u>

Received 28<sup>th</sup> May 2024 Accepted for publication 14<sup>th</sup> June 2024 Published 12<sup>th</sup> July 2024

*Suggested citation:* Japheth D.H. (2024). Biomass and Carbon Storage in Forest Management Types: A Review of Forest Reserves, Sacred Groves, and Community Forest Management for Climate Change Mitigation, *Paramount Ecological resources*, 10 (1):1-13.

# Abstract

This review evaluated findings on how varied forest management types – (forest reserves, sacred groves, and community forest management), play important roles in storing biomass and carbon to mitigate climate change. Forest reserves are protected areas, vital for conserving biodiversity and maximizing carbon storage capacity due to their restricted human interference for sustainable management practices. Sacred forests, deeply rooted in cultural customs, play a vital role in storing carbon and display the close connection between indigenous rituals and conservation results. Community forest management stresses the involvement of residents and their responsibility, for effectively improving biomass production and promoting social and economic advantages for the community. By comparing these management practices, this study revealed the unique impacts these management practices have on carbon dynamics and explained their synergistic potential for enhancing forest resilience against climate change. The study shows the significance of integrating traditional ecological knowledge with modern forestry management practices to optimize carbon storage and aid in global climate efforts for mitigation and adaptation. Thus, it is recommended that Governments should strengthen forest reserve protection by enforcing stricter laws against illegal activities and using technologies like satellite imaging for monitoring. Establishing buffer zones and regular enforcement will help safeguard biodiversity and increase carbon sequestration. Engaging local communities in forest management through clear legal frameworks, capacity-building, and financial support can enhance sustainable forestry. Due to the cultural significance of Sacred groves, should be integrated into conservation programs, with community collaboration to preserve biodiversity and carbon storage, while respecting traditional values.

Keywords: Adaptation, Climate-change, Management, Mitigation, Sustainability

# INTRODUCTION

Biomass refers to the biodegradable components of biological products, residues, and wastes from forestry, agriculture, and other industries (Konstantinavičienė and Vitunskienė, 2023). It comprises of the total mass of all living things, including above- and below-ground living biomass in a specific area or species, and is typically expressed as dry weight (IPCC, 2006; Chenge and Osho, 2018). Tree biomass is the total living organic matter in a tree. If the mass is expressed as a unit of area, such as tons per hectare, it is termed biomass density, within forest ecosystems, it is referred to as forest biomass. The role of biomass is crucial in signifying both the energy-charging mechanisms within forests and the vegetation's capacity in ecosystems to capture carbon (Wang *et al.,* 2019). The changes in forest biomass have been a focal

Japheth, 2024

point because they are linked to the carbon cycle, which affects worldwide climate trends and environmental shifts (Santoro *et al.*, 2019). Other than serving as a key indicator of carbon sequestration, forest biomass also holds significance in gauging energy production within natural ecosystems.

Carbon is non-metal with the molecular sixth (6) position that is found in both pure (graphite and diamond) and almost impure (coal and charcoal) forms. However, it can also mix with other elements to create molecules (Okwundu et al., 2018). Carbon is a common element, accounting for an estimated average of 14% of all dried solid mass in all life forms. This is present in the atmosphere as carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  at a rate of 0.04%. According to Goel and Agarwal (2014), CO<sub>2</sub> is a neutral, unscented gas that is found in nature. It has a sublimation highest temperature of 70 °C and a vapour density of 1.53. It is water soluble to a degree and is a greenhouse gas responsible for regulating the Earth's temperature (Goel and Agarwal, 2014). Carbon dioxide is a minor constituent of the Earth's atmosphere, with its present atmospheric concentration level of about three hundred and seventy ppm in quantity (Sabine and Feely, 2003). Carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases, including water vapour, methane, nitrous oxide, and chlorofluorocarbons, help keep the earth warmer than it would be without their presence in the atmosphere by trapping radiation (Sabine and Feely, 2003).

Key greenhouse gases contributing to the Earth's changing climate include CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and fluorinated gases such as hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, and nitrogen trifluoride. These gases trap solar heat that would otherwise escape to space (Melissa, 2019). CO<sub>2</sub> is the most prevalent greenhouse gas, making up approximately 76% of all greenhouse gas emissions (GHG, CCES, 2019). Methane, the second most crucial greenhouse gas (GHG), nitrous oxides and fluorinated gases are emitted from industrial activities. Ninety percent (90%) of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is produced through the combustion of fossil fuels such as coal, crude oil, and natural gas. This represents a substantial source of greenhouse gas emissions, with CO<sub>2</sub> being a major contributor to climate change (William and Craig, 2020).

Understanding the origins of heat energy, its utilization, carbon dioxide release rate, and carbon circulation knowledge is essential for the success of any measure to mitigate climate change. The carbon cycling process is a biogeochemical cycle involving the movement of carbon among the earth's biosphere, atmosphere, geosphere, pedosphere, and hydrosphere (Riebeek, 2011). The carbon cycle entails the transfer of carbon through interconnected components such as the oceans, atmosphere, terrestrial ecosystems, and Earth's crust, forming an integrated system (IPCC, 2012). The carbon cycle moves in gigatons of carbon annually, and the atmosphere, land, and sea can all store carbon in gaseous, liquid, or solid form (Melieres and Marechal, 2015).

Carbon is transferred from one or multiple carbon storage areas to another in an ongoing cycle known as carbon flux. Carbon pools are the main reservoirs for the exchange of carbon. These pools include the atmosphere, terrestrial biosphere, forests, oceans, soils, and sediments (Plate 1). The primary factor behind the observed rising trend in global temperatures is the flux of carbon into the atmosphere, with water (O<sub>2</sub>) serving as the primary contributor (Katie and Anne, 2020). Carbon is retained in flora species within the biosphere. During photosynthesis, plants use CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere as the building elements of food (Plate 2). Carbon is also present in the soil due to the breakdown of deceased animals and animal waste products (Plate 2) (Bot and Benite, 2005; Jeffrey, 2020). Plants store about 560

petagrams of carbon (PgC), with trees storing the largest amount (UNH-report, 2008).



Plate 1: Carbon Cycle Process (left side) and the Earth Carbon Pools (right side). (Source: UNH, 2008).



Plate 2: Complete Carbon Cycle (Source: Jeffrey, 2020).

Forests have vital importance in biomass production and the global carbon cycle. Carbon transport between the atmosphere and the terrestrial biosphere is the most important aspect of the forest carbon cycle (Thompson, 2023). The  $CO_2$  in the atmosphere is converted into terrestrial organic carbon using the photosynthetic process, which is subsequently stored as biomass by trees and other plants (e.g. vegetation) (Ontl and Schulte, 2012).

# FOREST BIOMASS AND CARBON POOLS

Carbon pools are carbon reservoirs that can absorb and release carbon (FAO, 2020). Forest carbon is reported in seven distinct pools (Skog et al., 2004) (Plate 3), five of which are part of the forest ecosystem (EPA, 2020). The five components are live above-ground biomass (AGB), below-ground biomass (BGB), soil carbon, dead wood, and forest litter (Plate 3). The largest pool is the carbon stored in the AGB of trees (Adeoti et al., 2023). The other two pools include mineral soils derived from rocks and organic soils originating from decomposed organic matter. The area of the earth covered in organic material generates a reservoir of fresh organic matter, predominantly plants, which consist of aboveground and belowground organic matter. Plants, animals, soils, and microorganisms are all examples of organic matter (UNH report, 2008).



**Plate 3: The Carbon Pool of Forest Ecosystem** (Source: Catanzaro and D'Amato, 2019).

**i. Above-ground biomass**: Above-ground biomass (AGB) refers to the standing dry mass of woody plants, including trees and shrubs (Wilkes *et al.*, 2018). Differences in forest ecosystems and fluctuations in forest biomass greatly influence the transfer of carbon

between land forest ecosystems and the atmosphere. Deforestation and forest degradation directly affect the AGB of trees, influencing the carbon pool in terrestrial ecosystems (Houghton, 2005). Ravindranath and Ostwald (2008) reported AGB as a crucial carbon reservoir in terrestrial forests, emphasizing its susceptibility to alterations in land use systems such as deforestation and forest degradation (Plate 4).

ii. Below Ground Biomass (BGB): The living pools of carbon (C) in forests, particularly the AGB and belowground biomass (BGB), play a substantial role in contributing carbon to the terrestrial ecosystem (Eggleston et al., 2006). The BGB, comprising all live roots, significantly contributes to the carbon cycle by participating in the movement and retention of carbon in the soil (Vashum and Jayakumar, 2012). Temperature, rainfall, terrain, forest structure, and forest composition are impacted by land use, human activities, and species numbers, leading to changes in above-ground and below-ground carbon storage in forests (Wei et al., 2013; Hu et al. 2015; Arasa-Gisbert et al., 2018; Arasa-Gisbert et al., 2018). Calculating the total amount of plant material below ground, including roots, rhizomes, and related mycorrhizal fungi, is known as estimating BGB. BGB is crucial for assessing how well ecosystem's function, how much carbon is stored, and how nutrients are cycled. Indirect procedures, non-destructive measurements, destructive sampling, and other methods and techniques are used to estimate BGB.

**iii. Deadwood biomass:** Deadwood constitutes a significant portion of carbon storage and movement, contributing to around 8% (equivalent to 73 petagrams) of the overall carbon reservoir in global forests. This encompasses fallen and upright dead tree trunks, branches, and additional woody materials (Pan *et al.*, 2011). The stocks and fluxes of dead wood carbon are highly biogeographic variables. For instance, Pan *et al.* 

(2011) reported in 2007, that total dead wood carbon stocks across different vegetational zones in the world accounted for between 2.8 and 11.7% of the sum forest C storage (Plate 4). This variability is caused by variations in decomposition rates that are correlated with climate and the wood characteristics of different species (Luyssaert *et al.*, 2017; Adam *et al.*, 2021). Also, harvesting activities, impacts from windstorms, occurrences of wildfires, outbreaks of pests or pathogens, and other small-scale disturbances alter the dynamics of dead wood (McGee, 2000).

iv. Forest litter: Forest litter is also known as litter biomass; it is regarded as a minor pool because it only contributes a small amount of C within the terrestrial pool (Ravindranath and Ostwald 2008). Although litter only makes up about 6% (Plate 4) of the entire carbon stored in the forest. It is a vital component of carbon and a key player when transporting substances within the ecosystem (EPA, 2020). Additionally, it connects the soil carbon, and the vegetation carbon pools (Pan et al., 2011). The amount of carbon stored in a litter can be estimated using data on its known carbon content (Domke et al., 2016), by multiplying litter volume and its carbon content. One can estimate the amount of carbon stored in litter by determining the rate of breakdown and the cycling of organic carbon in the litter layer (Wanlong and Xuehua, 2020).

v. Soil carbon: Soil organic matter is the largest contributor to forest carbon stocks following aboveground biomass (Kumar *et al.*, 2006). The soil represents a significant source of carbon emissions postdeforestation (Page *et al.*, 2002). Within a forest ecosystem, soil organic matter encompasses soil organic carbon (SOC), playing a crucial role in nutrient and carbon cycling between the lithosphere and atmosphere (Lal, 2005). Globally, soils contain approximately 1500 PgC of carbon (Zomer *et al.*, 2017), with organic carbon being the predominant form found in soil.

# RATE OF CARBON FLOWS WITHIN FOREST ECOSYSTEM

The quantity of organic carbon tends to decrease with increasing soil depth; routine soil measurements typically extend to a depth of 1 meter (UNH-report, 2008). In most cases, this catches most of the carbon in the soil; however, this does not apply in areas with very deep soil. Most of the carbon in soil comes from decomposing plant matter, which microbes break down. The degradation process releases carbon into the atmosphere since the bacteria's metabolism eventually breaks down most of the organic materials to  $CO_2$  (FAO, 2005).

Over time, the dimensions of these pools and the rate at which carbon passes through them undergo significant fluctuations (Green and Byrne, 2004). The quantity of carbon stored in a forest varies based on the carbon released into the atmosphere through processes such as tree growth, mortality, and decomposition, as asserted by Katie and Anne (2020) (Plate 4). According to Hoover and Riddle (2023), a forest is considered a net carbon sink when it sequesters more carbon than it releases into the surrounding environment. When the total amount of C released by the forest during a given period exceeds the amount of C trapped in the forest, the forest is considered a net carbon source of emissions.



Plate 4: Rate of Carbon Flows within Forest Ecosystem (Source: EPA Inventory Report, 2020).

In carbon sequestration, different processes remove  $CO_2$ from the atmosphere and store it in various reservoirs (forests, soils, seas, and geological formations).  $CO_2$  is extracted from the atmosphere via photosynthesis and stored in tree components and other vegetation, in forest ecosystems. Forests accumulate a substantial amount of C in AGB and BGB (Pan *et al.*, 2013). Carbon accumulates in soils, deadwood, litter (such as fallen leaves and stems), AGB (such as leaves, trunks, and limbs), and BGB (such as roots) in forest ecosystems (EPA, 2018).

Forest ecosystems accumulate organic compounds with an extended carbon residence time, storing a larger amount of C than other terrestrial ecosystems (Lorenz, 2009). Forests are essential to the global carbon cycle, because of their ability to remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere by photosynthesis and storing it in various components like wood, leaves, and soil. Encompassing nearly one-third of the Earth's land area, forests contribute significantly to the global carbon pool, holding around 80% of all terrestrial above-ground carbon and 40% of all terrestrial below-ground carbon (Meta *et al.*, 2015). The global forest ecosystems store over 650 gigatons (Gt) of carbon, with 289 Gt (44%) in AGB and BGB, 72 Gt (11%) in decaying wood and debris, and 292 Gt (45%) accumulated in the soil (FAO, 2020). While planted forest ecosystems currently make a relatively small contribution to the overall terrestrial carbon balance, it is anticipated that in the future, their capacity to absorb and store carbon will have a greater impact on reducing climate change (Canadell *et al.*, 2007).

Since forests store high amounts of carbon (Meta et al., 2015), their management could be crucial in lowering or increasing atmospheric  $CO_2$  levels. For example, while anthropogenic factors inject enormous quantities of  $CO_2$  into the atmosphere leading to world warming, sustainable forest management, forest conservation, afforestation and reforestation can either maintain or increase the amount of carbon stored in forests levels (IPCC, 2000), leading to mitigation of global warming.

# BIOMASS AND CARBON STORAGE IN FOREST MANAGEMENT TYPES

#### i. Forest Reserve Management

A forest reserve, as reported by the IUCN, is a safeguarded area that undergoes conservation and effective management for indications of conservation to offer unique opportunities for study or research and is significant for flora, fauna, or features of geological or other special interest (Burhenne-Guilmin, 2011). A forest reserve is a section of woodland, designated by the government to preserve biodiversity from anthropogenic activities such as poaching, and tree felling is prohibited for commercial purposes in forest reserve centers (Ajibola, 2021). It can also be described as an area of land where commercial wood harvesting is controlled or regulated to protect tree species of cultural, economic and ecological importance. Six National Wildlife Parks

and one hundred and sixty designated forest reserves are in Nigeria (Adekunle *et al.*, 2013) and are administered by governments.

Aside from the established forest reserves, all other woodlands are considered free areas (Adekunle *et al.*, 2013). The significant loss of animal and plant species in West and Central African forests has raised the need to conserve and sustainably manage vulnerable African ecosystems (Adekunle, 2006). Improving the soil's and trees' capacity to absorb carbon on land, protecting biodiversity, sustaining other ecosystem services and preserving forest reserves, help in absorbing and sequestrating  $CO_2$  that has been emitted into the atmosphere through anthropogenic activities (Griscom *et al.*, 2017).

Numerous studies have been conducted on biomass and carbon sequestration in various forest reserves in different locations. According to Eneji et al. (2014), Nagi-Naka and Agan forest reserves in Benue state sequestered an estimated total area of 26,881.8 kg and 26,146.4 kg of CO2, respectively. Mensah et al. (2020) observed that the above-ground carbon stocks in Gallery forests, woodlands, and savannahs in West Africa were 42.12 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>, 30.8 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 23.5 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Kendie et al. (2019) evaluated the biomass and soil carbon stocks in distinct types of forest (natural forest) in Northwestern Ethiopia. There were distinct patterns in carbon stocks of the carbon pools between the different forest types. While the litter carbon stock decreased as the area was enclosed, in natural forests, the stores of organic carbon in the soil, above ground, and below ground have all risen. Natural forests can help slow climate change because they typically store large amounts of carbon (Kendie et al., 2019). Olufunke et al. (2015) researched the carbon storage of some natural forests in Nigeria. According to Olufunke et al., the highest was observed in Osho Forest with a carbon stock value of 29.36 tons, followed by Shasha Forest Reserve

with a carbon stock value of 24.36 ton, however Gambari Forest Reserve had the lowest value of 14.84 tons.

Many works on biomass and carbon storage have been conducted in natural forests located within and outside Nigeria (Mitra *et al.*, 2011; Eneji *et al.*, 2014; Olufunke *et al.*, 2015; Ige, 2018; Ibrahim *et al.*, 2018; Kendie *et al.*, 2019; Aghimien, 2019; Mensah *et al.*, 2020). However, fewer, or no published work on biomass and carbon stock is available for the existing forest reserves in Benue state, Nigeria. This study aims to assess the biomass and carbon storage in different types of forest management such as forest reserves, sacred groves, and community forest management, concerning their potential for contributing to climate change based on the available theoretical and practical evidence published in several literature.

### ii. Sacred Groves Management

Sacred groves are unaltered forests with abundant biodiversity guarded by native communities based on taboos, and societal and religious beliefs (Onyekwelu, 2021). A sacred grove (SG) is a community of trees with religious, social, and cultural significance in a society (Maryam and Japheth, 2022). Sacred groves can be found in diverse societies and cultures, taking on various forms like remnants of ancient forests and locations designated for deity worship, celebrations of religion and culture, cemeteries for kings, and chiefs, and ancestral worship centers, among others (Adeyanju, 2020). Sacred groves serve as a sanctuary for endangered native flora and fauna species, and a significant genetic resource (Khan et al., 2008; Rawat et al., 2011; Onyekwelu, 2021). Additionally, sacred groves offer important ecosystem services and sequester C in soils. Quite often, Sacred groves are less disturbed than other forest ecosystems and can serve as a model for effective forest management for mitigating climate change and sequestering carbon (Aioub and Naghi, 2021).

According to Dar et al. (2019), the C stock varied from 17.5 to 204.9 mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>. In 41 sacred groves in Central India, the total amount of soil organic carbon ranged from 22.4 to 112.5 megagrams of carbon per hectare, while biomass production varied from 34.9 to 409.8 (Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>). According to Devi et al. (2021), two urban sacred forests in Sikkim Himalaya have carbon stocks ranging from 76.58 to 156.04 (Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>). 15,084.34 tons of carbon and 55.34 tons of carbon dioxide were found to have been sequestered by the sacred groves in the Kathmandu Valley (Shrestha et al., 2016). In the Gedeo Community, in Southern Ethiopia, Sacred groves were noted to accumulate between 255 and 637 megagrams of carbon per hectare (Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>) of biomass and a carbon stock range of 127.5 and 318.5 megagrams of carbon per hectare (Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Maru et al., 2022). Sacred groves in southwestern Nigeria produced biomass of 87.8 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> to 231.85 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> and carbon stock of 43.9 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> to 115.9 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (Onyekwelu et al., 2024).

#### iii. Community Forest Area Management

Community Forest areas are forest ecosystems existing on communal or state lands and managed by local or community people and leaders (Wiersum, 2004). A community forest consists of institutional structures that allow communities to be fully or partially involved in decision-making regarding forest management, and resources, and provide labour and knowledge to ensure both social well-being and the health of the forests (Danks and Fortmann, 2004). Practicing community forestry as a form of forest management system is undertaken by rural people to support their livelihoods (Wiersum, 2004). It involves determining the utilization and preservation of forest resources within a local community, organizing activities based on shared values, and aligning with the community's interests. Community Forest Management (CFM) refers to a set of institutional arrangements whereby communities provide manpower

and information to sustain healthy forests and enhance social well-being, and where they either fully or partially participate in decision-making, and reap the benefits (Danks and Fortmann, 2004).

Community forest management encourages rural people to participate in forest management activities because of their livelihood on forest resources. The participation of community inhabitants in forest management activities helps to protect forests from degradation and deforestation, and thus improves the local community's social economic and well-being by fostering participation, ownership, and decision-making. The effectiveness of CFM is determined by the interaction between communities and resources on the one hand, and government policies and access regulations to forest resources on the other (Client Earth report, 2014).

Forests cover thirty-one percent of the entire expanse of the Earth's surface, local communities and Indigenous people own or manage about 22% of the world's forests. (Bhattarai *et al.*, 2012). The main objective of CFM initiatives, which have been put into effect in several developing countries, is to preserve forests and assist community inhabitants in sustaining their livelihood, especially regarding their daily requirements for fuelwood, fodder, timber, income, and specific nonwood forest resources (Bhattarai *et al.*, 2012). In addition, CFAs offer environmental services that are crucial for both ecosystem health and human well-being, through carbon sequestration, soil conservation, air purification, and water management, among others.

The carbon storage of community forests has been the subject of very few studies. Bhattarai *et al.* (2012) investigated the efficiency of CFM in sequestration of carbon across three distinct physiographic zones of central Nepal that CFM led to consistent growth in carbon stock levels, between 1 to 3 metric tons per hectare annually (t/ha/yr), depending on local

circumstances. In three Nepalese community forests, Karky (2008) carried out an inventory of carbon in the forest and produced an annual carbon increment of between 1.13 and 3.1 ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. For the mid-hills of Nepal, Rana (2008) recorded an average carbon increment of 1.4 metric tons per hectare per year (t ha-1 yr-1). Annual carbon increment was 3.7 metric tons per hectare per year (t ha-1 yr-1) for community forests in Uttarakhand, India (Banskota et al., 2008). About 163.9 t ha-1 of carbon stock was estimated for ten forest communities in the upper highlands of Asia (Gautam et al., 2009). Few works have been done on biomass and carbon sequestration in community forests in Nigeria. Agbelade and Lawal (2019) estimated the biomass and C stock of Otun Ekiti and Ogun Onire community forests to be 6.07 t/ha and 30.02 t/ha, respectively.

#### CONCLUSION

Community forest management, sacred groves, and forest reserves are vital for mitigating the effects of climate change by preserving biodiversity and Government-managed Forest sequestering carbon. reserves are essential habitats for wildlife, plants, and ecosystem services. Sacred groves, safeguarded by cultural and religious traditions, play a vital role in conservation and store a substantial amount of carbon. In addition to guaranteeing resource sustainability and improving carbon sequestration, community forest management encourages local participation in forest preservation. Integrating these methods for managing forests, ecological sustainability is promoted, and carbon emissions are reduced. To optimize the environmental benefits of these management types, modern technology integration, community involvement, and effective policy execution are paramount. Thus, it is recommended that Governments should strengthen forest reserve protection by enforcing stricter laws against illegal activities and using technologies like satellite imaging for monitoring. Establishing buffer zones and regular

enforcement will help safeguard biodiversity and increase carbon sequestration. Engaging local communities in forest management through clear legal frameworks, capacity-building, and financial support can enhance sustainable forestry. Due to the cultural significance of Sacred groves, should be integrated into conservation programs, with community collaboration to preserve biodiversity and carbon storage, while respecting traditional values.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I sincerely acknowledge the invaluable guidance and support of my supervisors, Professor S.O. Akindele and Professor J.C. Onyekwelu, throughout this research. Their expertise, constructive feedback, and encouragement in shaping this study and ensuring its successful completion. I acknowledge the contribution of "Idea Wild Organization." for granting all the necessary equipment used for data collection.

# REFERENCES

- Adam R. Martin, Grant M. Domke, Mahendra Doraisami and Sean C. Thomas (2021): Carbon fractions in the world's dead wood; *Nature communication*; 12:889: 9pages.
- Adekunle V. A. J. 2006. Conservation of Tree Species Diversity in Tropical Rainforest Ecosystem of South-west Nigeria. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 18(2): 91 101.
- Adekunle VAJ, Adewole OO, Akindele SO. (2013): Tree species diversity and structure of a Nigerian strict nature reserve; *Tropical Ecology*; 54(3):275-289.
- Adeoti, O. O., Animashahun, Z. T., Osundun, O. O., & Murtala, O. Z. (2023). Assessment of tree above ground biomass and total carbon content sequestered by indigenous tree species in Sakponba Forest Reserve in Edo State, Nigeria. Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management, 27(7), 1509-1514. DOI: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v27i7.25</u>
- Adeyanju, O.S. (2020). Drivers of Biodiversity Conservation in Sacred Groves: A Comparative Study of Three Sacred Groves in South-West Nigeria. A Master of Science Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of The Requirements for The Degree of Master of Science into Tthe Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies

(Forestry), The University of British Columbia (Vancouver), Canada. 98pg.

- Agbelade A. D. and Lawal A. (2019): Volume Yield and Carbon Hoard in Two Community-Managed Forests of Ekiti State, Southwest, Nigeria; *Forests and Forest Products Journal*; 19:127-135.
- Aghimien E.V. (2019). Above-ground carbon stock estimation using pleiades satellite imagery of the secondary forest ecosystem in Ibadan, Nigeria. Forest Res Eng Int J. 2019;3(2):46-54.
- Aioub Moradi, Naghi Shabanian. Sacred Groves: A Pattern of Zagros Forests for Carbon Sequestration and Climate Change Reduction, 11 May 2021, Preprint (Version 2) available at Research Square [https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-270508/v2]
- Ajibola, A. (2021). Importance of forest: Forest reserves in Nigeria; Agricultural Science, Len Academy. 2pg. https://www.len.com.ng/csblogdetail/571/Importa nce-of-forest--Forest-reserves-in-Nigeria.
- Arasa-Gisbert R, Vayreda J, Román-Cuesta RM, Villela SA, Mayorgab R, Retana J (2018): Forest diversity plays a key role in determining the stand carbon stocks of Mexican forests. *Forest Ecology Management*, 415-416:160–171.
- Banskota, K, Karky, B.S. and Skutsch, M. (2008): Reducing Carbon Emissions, through Community managed Forests in the Himalaya, International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development.
- Bhattarai, P.T.; Skutsch, M.; Midmore, J.D. and Rana, B.E. (2012): The Carbon Sequestration Potential of Community-based Forest Management in Nepal; *The International Journal of Climate Change*: Impacts and Responses; 3 (2): 233-253.
- Bot, A., & Benites, J. (2005). The importance of soil organic matter: key to drought-resistant soil and sustained food production. FAO Soils Bulletin 80. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Burhenne-Guilmin, F. (2011). Guidelines for Protected Areas Legislation. IUCN. ISBN 9782831712451.
- Canadell JG, Le Quéré C, Raupach MR, Field CB, Buitenhuis ET, Ciais P, Conway TJ, Gillett NP, Houghton RA, Marland G (2007): Contribution to accelerating atmospheric CO2 growth from economic activity, carbon intensity and efficiency of natural sinks. Proc Natl Acad Sci 104(47):18866–18870.

- Catanzaro, P. and D'Amato, A. (2019). Forest Carbon an Essential Natural Solution for Climate Change. Published by University of Massachusetts Amherst: 28 pg. https://site.uvm.edu/tdamato/files/2021/03/Catanz aro-and-DAmato-2019-Forest-Carbon.pdf
- Chenge, B.I and Osho, J.S.A (2018): Mapping tree aboveground biomass and carbon in Omo Forest Reserve Nigeria using Landsat 8 OLI data; *Southern Forests 2018: 1–10.*
- Danks, C. and Fortmann, L. (2004): Social and Collaborative Forestry | Forest and Tree Tenure and Ownership; Editor(s): Jeffery Burley, *Encyclopedia of Forest Sciences, Elsevier*, 2004: 1157-1162.
- Dar JA, Subashree K, Raha D, Kumar A, Khare PK, Khan ML. (2019): Tree diversity, biomass and carbon storage in sacred groves of Central India. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.*; 26(36): 37212-37227.
- Devi, N. B., Lepcha, N. T., Mahalik, S. S., Dutta, D., & Tsanglao, B. L. (2021). Urban sacred grove forests are potential carbon stores: A case study from the Sikkim Himalaya. *Environmental Challenges*, 4, 100072.
- Domke GM, Perry CH, Walters BF, Woodall CW, Russell MB, Smith JE (2016) Estimating litter carbon stocks on forest land in the United States. *Sci Total Environ* 557–558:469–478.
- Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (2006) IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories, volume - IV agriculture, forestry, and other land use. Institute of Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Hayama Ravindranath NH, Ostwald M (2008): Methods for estimating above ground biomass. In: Ravindranath NH, Ostwald M (eds) Carbon inventory methods: handbook for greenhouse gas inventory, carbon mitigation and round wood production projects, Springer Science + Business Media B.V, Netherlands
- Eneji, I. S., Obinna, O., and Azua, E. T. (2014). Sequestration and Carbon Storage Potential of Tropical Forest Reserve and Tree Species Located Within Benue State of Nigeria. *Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection*, 2, 157-166.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Inventory of U.S. (2020): Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks, 1990-2018, EPA 430-R-20-002, April 13, 2020.

- Environmental Protection Agency Report (2018): <u>https://www.epa.gov/report-</u> environment/greenhouse-gases
- FAO (2020): Nigeria National forest (carbon) inventory field manual. Abuja. https://doi.org/10.4060/cb2087en; 54 pp.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2005): The importance of soil organic matter Key to droughtresistant soil and sustained food production; FAO Soils Bulletin 80; Chief Publishing Management Service Information Division FAO Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00100 Rome, Italy. Retrieved from https://www.fao.org/3/a0100e/a0100e05.htm. Accessed on 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2022
- Gautam, C., Watanabe, T. & Padjadjaran, S. (2009): Assessment of role of community forests in CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration, Biodiversity and Land Use Change; *Asia Pacific Network for Global Change Research*, ARCP2009-10NSY-Gautam: 125 pg.
- Goel, S. and Agarwal, D. (2014): Carbon Dioxide, Editor(s): Philip Wexler, *Encyclopedia of Toxicology* (Third Edition), Academic Press: 675-677.
- Green, C., and Byrne, K. A. (2004). Biomass: Impact on the Carbon Cycle and Greenhouse Gas Emissions. In C. J. Cleveland (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Energy (pp. 223-236). Elsevier.
- Griscoma, Bronson W., Justin Adamsa, Peter W. Ellisa, Richard A. Houghtonc, Guy Lomaxa, Daniela A. Mitevad, William H. Schlesingere, David Shochf, Juha V. Siikamäkig, Pete Smithh, Peter Woodburyi, Chris Zganjara, Allen Blackmang, João Camparij, Richard T. Conantk, Christopher Patricia Delgadol, Eliasa, Trisha Gopalakrishnaa, Marisa R. Hamsika, Mario Herrerom, Joseph Kieseckera, Emily Landisa, Lars Laestadiusl,n, Sara M. Leavitta,Susan Minnemeyerl, Stephen Polaskyo, Peter Potapovp, Francis E. Putzq, Jonathan Sandermanc, Marcel Silviusr, Eva Wollenbergs, and Joseph Fargione (2017): Natural climate solutions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA; 114:11645-11650.

www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1710465114.

Hoover, K. and Riddle, A.A (2023): Forest Carbon Data: In Brief. Congressional Research Service Report. https://crsreports.congress.gov R46313 U.S.

- Houghton RA (2005): <u>Above-ground forest biomass and</u> <u>the global carbon balance. Global Change</u> <u>Biology</u>, 11: 945-958.
- Hu, Yanqiu & Su, Zhiyao & Li, Wenbin & Li, Jingpeng & Ke, Xiandong. (2015). Influence of Tree Species Composition and Community Structure on Carbon Density in a Subtropical Forest. PloS one. 10. e0136984. 10.1371/journal.pone.0136984.
- Ibrahim, M., Isah, A., Shamaki, S., and Audu, M. (2018). Carbon Stock Assessment in Majiya Fuelwood Reserve, Sokoto State, Nigeria. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 18(2), 1-12.
- Ige, P.O. (2018): Above Ground Biomass and Carbon Stock Estimation of *Gmelina Arborea* (Roxb.) Stands In Omo Forest Reserve, Nigeria; *Journal* of Research in Forestry, Wildlife and Environment Volume; 10 (4): 71-80.
- IPCC, (2012): Glossary of terms. In: Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation [Field, C.B., V. Barros, T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, D.J. Dokken, K.L. Ebi, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S.K. Allen, M. Tignor, and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA, pp. 555-564.
- IPCC, 2000c: Emission Scenarios. Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- IPCC. 2006 IPPC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. https://www.ipccnggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/4\_Volume4/V4 \_02\_Ch2\_Generic.pdf (accessed on 10 July 2023)
- Karky, B. S. & M. Skutsch. 2010. The cost of carbon abatement through community forest management in Nepal Himalaya. *Ecological Economics*, 69:666-672.
- Katie, H. and Anne, A.R. (2020). Forest Carbon Primer; Congressional Research Report. www.crs.gov.
- Kendie, G.; Addisu, S. and Abiyu, A. (2019): Biomass and soil carbon stocks in different forest types, Northwestern Ethiopia. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 19:1, 123-129.
- Khan, M. L., Khumbongmayum, A. D., & Tripathi, R. S. (2008). The Sacred Groves and Their Significance in Conserving Biodiversity: An Overview. International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, 34(3), 277–291.

- Konstantinavičienė, J., & Vitunskienė, V. (2023). Definition and Classification of Potential of Forest Wood Biomass in Terms of Sustainable Development: A Review. *Sustainability*, *15*(12), 9311. MDPI AG.
- Kumar R, Pandey S, Pandey A (2006): <u>Plant roots and</u> <u>carbon sequestration</u>. *Current Science* 9: 885-<u>890</u>.
- Lal R (2005): Forests soil and carbon sequestration; Forest Ecology and Management, 220: 242-258.
- Lorenz, K. and Lal, R. (2010). Carbon Sequestration in Forest Ecosystems; *Springer* Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York. 279 pg.
- Luyssaert, S; I. INGLIMA; Jung, M.J; Richardson, R.D; <u>Reichstein</u>, M.; <u>Papale</u>, D.; <u>PIAO</u>, S.L.; <u>Schulze</u>, E.D.; <u>Wingate</u>, L.; <u>Matteucci</u>, G.; <u>Aragão</u>, L.E.O.C.; <u>Aubinet</u>, M; Beer, C.; <u>Bernhofer</u>, C. (2017): CO<sub>2</sub> balance of boreal, temperate, and tropical forests derived from a global database; *Global Change Biol.*; 13: 2509–2537.
- Manyam, H.I. and Japheth, H.D (2022). The Prominence of Traditional and Religious Beliefs and Practices in Biodiversity Conservation. Proceedings of the 8th Biennial Conference of the Forests & Forest Products Society, Held at the Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan, Nigeria. 14th - 20th August 2022: 73-82.
- Maru, Y.; Gebrekirstos, A. and Haile, G. (2023). Indigenous Sacred Forests as a Tool for Climate Change Mitigation: Lessons from Gedeo Community, Southern Ethiopia, Journal of Sustainable Forestry, 42:3, 260-287.
- McGee, G. G. (2000): The contribution of beech bark disease-induced mortality to coarse woody debris loads in northern hardwood stands of Adirondack Park, New York, USA. Can. J. Res. 30, 1453– 1462.
- Melieres, M. and Marechal, C. (2015): "Warming in the 20th century," in *Climate Change: Past, Present and Future* 1st ed., U.K.: Wiley, 2015, ch.29, sec.1:298-301
- Melissa Denchak (2019): NRDC (Natural Resources Defense Council) Report on Greenhouse Effect 101; retrieved from: <u>https://www.nrdc.org/stories/greenhouse-</u> <u>effect-101/.....Accessed</u>: 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2022.
- Mensah, S., Noulèkoun, F., and Ago, E. E. (2020). Aboveground tree carbon stocks in West African semi-arid ecosystems: Dominance

patterns, size class allocation, and structural drivers. Global Ecology and Conservation, 24, e01331. ISSN: 2351-9894.

- Mitra A, Sengupta K, Banerjee K. (2011). Standing biomass and carbon storage of above-ground structures in dominant mangrove trees in the Sundarbans. *Forest Ecology Management*; 261:1325–1335
- Okwundu, O. S., Aniekwe, E. U., & Nwanno, C. E. (2018). Unlimited potentials of carbon: Different structures and uses (A review). Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, 24(3), 145-171. https://doi.org/10.30544/388.
- Olufunke O. Olayode, Saka. O. Bada & Labode Popoola (2015): Carbon Stock in Teak Stands of Selected Forest Reserves in Southwestern Nigeria; *Environment and Natural Resources Research*, Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education; 5 (3): 109-115.
- Ontl, T. A. & Schulte, L. A. (2012) Soil Carbon Storage. Nature Education Knowledge 3(10):35. <u>https://www.nature.com/scitable/knowledge/lib</u> <u>rary/soil-carbon-storage-84223790/</u>
- Onyekwelu, J. C., Agbelade, A. D., Stimm, B., & Mosandl, R. (2024). Role of sacred groves in southwestern Nigeria in biodiversity conservation, biomass, and carbon storage. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 196(3), 269.
- Onyekwelu, J.C. (2021). Can the fear of the gods sustain biodiversity conservation in sacred groves? *Academia Letters*, Article 635; 11pp.
- Page SE, Siegert F, Rieley JO, Boehm Hans-Dieter V, Jaya A (2002): <u>The amount of carbon released</u> <u>from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during</u> <u>1997. Nature, 420: 61-65.</u>
- Pan, Y.; Birdsey, R.A.; Fang, J.; Houghton, R.; Kauppi, P.E.; Kurz, W.A.; Phillips, O.L.; Shvidenko, A.; Lewis, S.L.; Canadell, J.G.; <u>Philippe Ciais, Robert</u> <u>B</u> Jackson, Stephen W Pacala, A David <u>McGuire, Shilong Piao, Aapo Rautiainen, Stephen</u> <u>Sitch, Daniel Hayes</u> (2011). A large and persistent carbon sinks in the world's forests. *Science*, 333, 988–993.
- Rana, E. B. (2008). REDD+ an option for Carbon Finance and Impact on Livelihoods of Forest Users in Nepal-a case study of Nepal's Community Forestry. An MSc thesis submitted to the School of Forest Science and Resource Management in University of Munich, Germany.

- Ravindranath, N.H and Ostwald, M (2008): Carbon Inventory Methods: Handbook for Greenhouse Gas Inventory, Carbon Mitigation and Roundwood Production Projects. Springer Science + Business Media B.V 113-14.
- Rawat, M., Vasistha, H. B., Manhas, R. K., & Negi, M. (2011). Sacred Forest of Kunjapuri Siddhapeeth, Uttarakhand, India. *Tropical Ecology*, 52(2), 219– 221.
- Riebeek, Holli (2011). <u>"The Carbon Cycle"</u>. *Earth Observatory*. NASA. <u>Archived</u> from the original on 5 March 2016. Retrieved 5 April 2018.
- Sabine, C.L. and Feely, R.A (2003): CARBON DIOXIDE, Editor(s): James R. Holton, Encyclopedia of Atmospheric Sciences, Academic Press: 335-343.
- Santoro, M.; Cartus, O.; Fransson, J.E.S.; Wegmüller, U. Complementarity of X-, C-, and L-Band SAR Backscatter Observations to Retrieve Forest Stem Volume in Boreal Forest. *Remote Sens.* 2019, 11, 1563.
- Shrestha, L. J.; Devkota, M. P. and Sharma, B. K. (2016): Are Sacred Groves of Kathmandu Valley Efficient in Sequestering Carbon? *Journal of Botany;* Volume 2016, Article ID 7695154, 6 pages; Hindawi Publishing Corporation.
- Skog, E.K; Pingoud, K and Smith, E.J (2004): A Method Countries Can Use to Estimate Changes in Carbon Stored in Harvested Wood Products and the Uncertainty of Such Estimates; *Environmental Management*; 33 (Supplement 1): S65–S73; Springer-Verlag New York, LLC.
- Thompson, J. N, Thompson, . Michael B. and Gates, . David M. (2023). Biosphere. Encyclopedia Britannica. https://www.britannica.com/science/biosphere
- University of New Hampshire (UNH) (2008): Carbon Cycle Diagram. http://globecarboncycle.unh.edu/CarbonPoolsFl uxes.shtml Durham, NH 0382
- Vashum KT, Jayakumar S (2012) Methods to Estimate Above-Ground Biomass and Carbon Stock in Natural Forests - A Review. *Journal of Ecosystem Ecography* 2:116.
- Wanlong Sun and Xuehua Liu (2020): Review on carbon storage estimation of forest ecosystem and applications in China; *Forest Ecosystems*; 7:4; 14pg.

- Watson, R.T., Noble, I.R., Bolin, B., Ravindranath, N.H., Verardo, D.J., and Dokken, D.J. (eds) (2000).
  Land Use, Land-Use Change, and Forestry. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Special report. Cambridge University Press, UK. 375 pp.
- Wiersum, K.F. (2004). Social And Collaborative Forestry | Social and Community Forestry, Editor(s): Jeffery Burley, *Encyclopedia of Forest Sciences, Elsevier*: 1136-1143.
- William D. Fletcher and Craig B. Smith, (2020): Chapter 1 – Introduction-Reaching Net Zero, What are the greenhouse gases? Elsevier, 2020, Pages 1-8, ISBN 9780128233665, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-823366-</u> 5.00001-4.
- Zomer, R.J., Bossio, D.A., Sommer, R. (2017): Global Sequestration Potential of Increased Organic Carbon in Cropland Soils. *Sci Rep* 7, 15554 (2017).